Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/777,406	KURGANOV, ALEXANDER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2441	
All Participants:	ticipants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) <u>Scott Kaspar, Atty</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 December 2008	Time: <u>4:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 32, 53 and 63			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Kristie D. Shingles/ Examiner, Art Unit 2441	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to further clarify the term "regular expression" in the claim language, based on the term definition and explanation made in Applicant's Remarks filed 9/15/08. Specifically, Examiner proposed adding the clause, "wherein the/said regular expression is a special text string used for describing a search pattern". Atty further clarified that the "said instruction set" should be amemended in claims 53 and 63 to "said instruction sets" to reference both first and second instruction sets. Atty authorized the Examiner's Amendment and the independent claims were amended accordingly.